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Construction automation and robotics devices need to be fully integrated with the company IT infrastructure if they are to be of real value. Object technology provides the most effective means of achieving this, but an industry-wide protocol must be agreed. Two attempts at achieving this, the STEP and IAI initiatives are reviewed. Two case studies are examined – the LUCIE robot excavator and the Stent automated piling rig. Problems in trying to comply with STEP are described.

1 INTRODUCTION

Global integration concerns the means of integrating robotic systems into the business process of their owner. Just as in manufacturing, robots and automated plant only become advantageous when properly integrated into an appropriate IT infrastructure which links design to manufacture. This is particularly the case with construction robots as the one-off nature of many tasks means that manual programming of individual robots would be uneconomic. Thus a CAD/CAM link for construction robots is required. A CAD/CAM link implies the transmission of product data between the various phases of the development life-cycle. This is made more problematic in construction, compared to manufacturing, because of the greater number of organisations involved. Architects, structural engineers, heating and ventilating engineers, quantity surveyors, main contractors and various specialist sub-contractors are all commonly involved. This means that a universally agreed format is required for data transfer. Two attempts to achieve this are STEP and IAI. These will be briefly discussed below.

In addition to programming information being transmitted from the office to the robot, global integration also implies that site performance records are communicated back from the robot to the office. The provision of on-board computing power and mobile phone technology makes two-way communication both easy and economic. In general communication may be at fixed times throughout the day, event triggered (such as on completion of a particular task) or on demand.

2 STEP

STEP is the popular name for the Standard for the Exchange of Product Model Data or more formally ISO10303 Industrial automation systems - Product data representation and exchange. Work started on the standard in 1984 and, after much international investment and research, an initial release of the first twelve parts occurred in 1994. There is no widespread industrial use as yet, but the continued development of software support tools and cheap computer hardware may encourage its adoption. The standard is highly complex, laden with jargon and acronyms, and the eventual number of parts is likely to exceed 1,000 [5] and take up several feet of shelf space [1].

A STEP model provides product information (i.e. what it is) but not implementation information (i.e. how it is to be exchanged or used). This demarcation is deliberate in order to preserve the universality of exchange between different applications and computer systems. (In terms of object-oriented development this is equivalent to saying that STEP models have attributes but no operations.)

STEP documents are divided into four main classes:

- Description methods (Nos. 10-19) - These parts describe various forms of the EXPRESS textual specification language [10] which underpins all STEP models. Formal specification in EXPRESS enables a model description to be unambiguously read by both humans and
computers. EXPRESS-G is a graphical representational form.

- **Implementation methods** (Nos. 20-29) - These parts describe the formats for storing and exchanging data between applications and databases as well as the relationships to specific programming languages such as C++ and FORTRAN.

- **Integrated resources** (Nos. 40 -200) - These parts contain conceptual data models that are relevant across a wide range of different products. Examples of such models are geometric shapes, visual presentations and engineering drawings. Of relevance to construction robots is the Building Construction Core Model that sets out a formal structure for the relationships between the different parts of a building.

- **Application protocols** (Nos. 200 299) - These define specific EXPRESS data models for particular industries. Individual sets of documents will therefore be prepared for say the shipbuilding, automobile and construction industries. These documents are prepared by teams of domain experts and data modellers who start by deriving detailed process/activity models for the industry concerned. A detailed understanding of the processes is required to derive the key information that must be encapsulated within the product model.

Other parts of the standard deal with overall philosophy and conformance testing. A STEP software tool producer needs to be familiar with most of the early parts of the standard, whereas an end-user should only need to refer to the relevant Application Protocol (AP).

An interesting example of the use of STEP to facilitate construction automation came out of the European RoadRobot project [4].

### 3 IAI

The International Alliance for Interoperability (IAI) is based in the construction industry and includes CAD vendors such as Autodesk [2]. It was set up in 1995, partly as a result of frustration with the slow progress of STEP, but it has aligned itself with the STEP approach and adopts much of the technology. It has set ambitious targets for the creation of Industry Foundation Classes (IFCs), which is the IAI term for the STEP Application protocols. IAI claims to be commercially driven as opposed to STEP’s research driven approach. Work to date has concentrated on the production of usage scenarios, which are then converted to more formal process diagrams. These process diagrams provide the basis for the creation of object classes, which, as in STEP, are represented in EXPRESS.

The effort has been concentrated in the domains of architecture, heating and ventilating engineering, construction management and facilities management. It is a measure of the size of the task that only a few sub-processes in each of these domains have been considered. None of the work is, as yet, useful for the self-programming of the construction robots considered here.

### 4 CASE STUDY 1 - THE LUCIE EXCAVATOR

LUCIE, the Lancaster University Computerised Intelligent Excavator (figure 1) is fitted with satellite GPS guidance, and onboard PC104 computers control the vehicle tracks to provide a positioning accuracy of 2-3 cm. This is adequate for most site excavation. The integration of a robotic excavator can be considered to be analogous to the interface between a computer and a pen plotter. With a plotter, a software driver is required to convert a graphical computer image into the commands to move the pen. Likewise with LUCIE, CAD images of the excavation task must be converted into commands for movement of the excavator.

Two approaches have been considered:

1. **Interfacing to existing CAD packages** provides a rapid route for early implementation. Several current computer drainage design packages produce manhole schedules that consist of manhole co-ordinates together with the invert level of all connecting pipes (i.e. the ordnance datum level of the inside of the bottom surface of the pipe). As pipes generally run in straight lines between manholes, this schedule contains all the information that LUCIE requires to dig the drainage trenches. However the order in which trenches are constructed is also important. The excavator must not dig itself into a corner from which it cannot escape without crossing, and hence damaging, earlier work. Thus an excavation planner is required to process the
manhole schedule and produce an ordered task list. A simple interpreter is required to process the output file from the different packages to get it in the same format for further processing.

2. Other excavation tasks are not so easy. For example a normal building foundation CAD drawing contains only lines which contain no semantics. It would thus be impossible to derive the excavation information from such a drawing. CAD packages such as AutoCAD allow attributes to be associated with blocks. Such attributes may include specific data such as the depth and width of a foundation, and hence the required excavation information could be extracted, however this approach is not ideal. The drawing production would be hindered, attributes can be changed easily, and no industry standard exists for the way that attribute data is defined. A better approach for the long-term is a fully object-oriented one, where a foundation has a universally agreed object model that contains all the necessary attributes. The STEP and IAI initiatives, described above should eventually deliver this goal.

As before, an excavation planner and scheduler is required to determine the optimum route to be followed by the vehicle. This is far from trivial and figure 2 shows the route for a simple two-bay strip foundation, based on interviews with experienced excavator operators.

5 CASE STUDY 2 - THE STENT PILING RIG

A Stent piling rig has also been fitted with satellite GPS positioning, although this is principally as a navigation aid to the driver.

A typical continuous flight auger (CFA) rig is shown if figure 3. Global integration was regarded as an important requirement of the project from the outset. The decision had previously been made to adopt an object-oriented database known as Object Store [6] as a core data repository at the heart of the business process. This enables a two-way flow of data between the o-o database and actual site activity. Design information is issued for implementation on site, and logged site data is fed back for analysis and recording.

The external ‘upstream’ documents that inform the process are a specification, a site investigation and drawings of the proposed project. The ‘downstream’ documents are as-built records, and in addition current practice requires an increasing amount of site-logged sensor data that is used for quality validation of the product.

A suite of software tools is currently under development to assist with the management of processes and the manipulation of data. These include SAM (Stent Applications Manager), which is a high level user interface used for all transactions with the O-O data base, and QPID (Quick Pile Design) which is a technical module for processing site investigation and CAD data, producing pile designs and preparing job instructions for the semi-automated piling rigs. The relationship between the software tools is shown in Figure 4. QPID is used to design each pile individually, create a table of results, export results to the o-o database for estimating and the pile co-ordinates to the piling rig for Autopositioning. Further information is contained in [8].

The piling rig contains a hardened PC (figure 5) which is connected, via a programmable logic controller (PLC), to sensors which measure auger torque, depth and rotation and concrete...
for security and data integrity, hence the model developed should reflect this.

After much iteration a generic model was developed with attributes such as pile length and load, and aggregated objects such as 'PileConstructionLog'. This object is then extended with sub-classes to define the different methods of construction. A further aggregated object defines the materials used in the pile construction. Each pile is given a unique reference number which remains with the pile throughout its life. The process was kept as close as possible to the STEP approach with ST-Developer being used to compile Express descriptions and convert them into EXPRESS-G diagrams and appropriate C++ code. An EXPRESS-G diagram for a pile construction log is shown in figure 6.

Stent, in common with other piling contractors require a high level of detail on construction performance, hence the CFA construction log has aggregated-classes of boring log and extraction log. These contain precise details of machine performance over the construction of the pile and are automatically generated by the rig instrumentation. It is hoped to use this data in future research to design piles on-the-fly.

It was agreed that the work should align itself as closely as possible with international STEP and IAI standards. The perceived advantages of this were:

1. The adoption of a well developed data modelling process.
2. The availability of independent development tools.
3. The ability to adopt existing data models.
4. The opportunity to make a contribution to the international effort.
5. Possible future interoperability with a 'Single Building Model' and specialist pile design packages.

It is felt, however, that these advantages have largely not been realised for the following reasons:

a) Although familiar with modern o-o concepts, the process of obtaining compliance with the standards was found to be daunting. The sheer volume of documentation and apparent complexity of the process was overwhelming.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Internal to Stent</th>
<th>External to Stent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QPID package</td>
<td>Client/customers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Designers</td>
<td>Consultants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Engineers</td>
<td>Other Contractors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foremen</td>
<td>Rig Drivers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1

Viewpoints for models
Some valuable guidance is available [1] but the impression gained is that the steepness of the learning curve outweighs the benefits to be gained.

c) Having been forced to develop pertinent data models it is not clear what the procedure is for publishing these, and possibly having them considered for adoption within the standards.
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Figure 6

**EXPRESS-G representation of PileConstructionLog object**

b) The presence of the two organisations, STEP and IAI, is confusing. Even though there is now cooperation and some compatibility between the two organisations it was difficult to know which to turn to. The difficulty was compounded by the adoption of different sets of jargon.

c) After some effort it was discovered that neither a STEP Application Protocol nor an IAI Foundation Class existed at an appropriate level of detail for our purposes.

d) The data models which do exist appear to have a design bias, and it was concluded that even if detailed models for ‘pile’ or ‘bore-hole’ had been completed, they probably would not have met the needs of a contractor. Will they contain attributes such as ‘the rig driver’s name’ or the ‘concrete supplier’? Whereas the pile type, e.g. ‘driven’ or ‘CFA’, may be regarded as merely a pile attribute to the designer, to the piling contractor they need to be extensive sub-classes.

e) A STEP CASE tool was purchased, but an Object-Store back-end was not forthcoming despite being advertised. It was concluded that the tool would only have value for larger and more complex data modelling activities.

f) Overall the impression is given that, at the current time, the effort involved in compliance is not justified by the benefits which can be gained for ordinary end-users.

A project pilot was run to test the system integration. The rig acts as the main information gathering point, collecting information throughout the CFA construction process. Pile design information can be downloaded from Object Store at the start of the day/shift, however on multiple rig sites it is not yet known how to distribute the information, therefore for the purpose of the trial, pile design information was down-loaded to the rig by hand. The hardware components involved and the data flows are shown in Figure 7.
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are industry to provide the construction
industry with appropriate tools so that the
technology is invisible to the user.

3. Introducing new IT into existing organisations
presents many short-term problems related to
management practices, job descriptions, legal
responsibilities and safety. A carefully
thought out implementation plan is required

6 INTEGRATION CONCLUSIONS

Integration at both the system and global level
remains one of the most challenging aspects of
robot development. This is because the activity
requires both a clear top-down vision of what is
required, together with detailed knowledge of
what is achievable from the different available
techniques. The following conclusions can be
drawn from the two case studies:

1. Even for a relatively self-contained activity
such as piling it has become clear that an
intensive and highly integrated IT infra-
structure is required to optimise business
efficiency and maximise the benefits of
automation and sensing. It is probable that
only object technology can deliver this infra-
structure in a manageable form.

2. The problems of establishing industry-wide
protocols and data models should not be
underestimated. Even when there is genuine
willingness to adopt such standards, the
problems in doing so currently outweigh the
benefits. Perhaps there is a need for the
software industry to provide the construction
industry with appropriate tools so that the
technology is invisible to the user.
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