### A MEMS Transducer for Ultrasonic Flaw Detection

by

Akash Jain, David W. Greve, and Irving J. Oppenheim<sup>1</sup>

### ABSTRACT

Metal structures can fail because of fatigue crack propagation or because of section loss from corrosion. Regular inspection is required to intercept such failures, and *in situ* sensors would be a superior technology for that purpose. We have designed and fabricated arrays of MEMS capacitive diaphragm transducers and we report on their performance as pulse-echo detectors in direct contact with solids. Our chip is approximately 1-cm square and features nine detector array was studied by bonding the chip to test specimens and applying an ultrasonic pulse using a commercial ultrasonic transducer. One experiment recreates an on-axis excitation in which the pulse arrives uniformly at all detectors, and another experiment recreates an off-axis excitation in which the pulse arrival is delayed from one detector to the next along the length of the array, permitting accurate localization of the source using phased array signal processing. The results establish that MEMS transducers can function successfully as phased array detectors of ultrasonic signals in solids.

#### **KEYWORDS**

Diaphragm; flaw detection; MEMS; phased array; ultrasonics

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Steel is used in buildings, bridges, pressure piping, and industrial construction, but the safe performance of any steel structure is threatened by section loss from corrosion or wear, by crack propagation from fatigue or cyclic loading, by weld failure from overload or seismic loading, or by other discontinuities. Such flaws can develop with time, and the continued service of major structures often requires confirmation that such flaws have not developed. Ultrasonic flaw detection [1] is a versatile technology for nondestructive evaluation, but it must typically be performed by skilled personnel. The principles of pulse-echo flaw detection are depicted in a through-thickness geometry in Figures 1 and 2. Figure 1 depicts an ultrasonic pulse transmitted into the material. A typical transducer frequency is 5 MHz, corresponding to a 1.2-mm wavelength in steel, which is sufficiently short to resolve flaws at that same

scale. The typical transducer is a piezoelectric ceramic, most often PZT (lead-zirconiumtitanate), with a diameter much greater than the wavelength. The ultrasonic pulse will reflect from the first boundary it encounters, which in an unflawed specimen is the back surface of the steel plate. The time at which the echo returns to the front surface reveals the total travel distance, equal to twice the thickness. Figure 2 records a measurement using a mm-scale PZT sample affixed to brass (velocity of sound c =4400 m/s) with a thickness of 9.8 mm, showing successive echo returns. The time from the pulse to the return of the echo, and the time between successive echoes, is under 5 µs, which correctly approximates the thickness. Ultrasonic inspection can be used in this manner to measure thickness, which would reveal any section loss, or to reveal reflections that arrive prematurely, which would signal the presence of a flaw.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering (IJO), Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering (DWG, AJ), Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA 15213; Phone 412-268-2950 (IJO); Email ijo@cmu.edu.

Although ultrasonic flaw detection is quite versatile, there are two limitations that could be eliminated by the development of resident sensors. In current practice the inspection is performed manually, and is therefore subject to interpretation. Moreover, the process is most often memoryless, making no use of the earlier signal history. We envision building a resident ultrasonic flaw detection system to be mounted at critical locations on metal structures, which would retain a signal history to allow signature analysis in the detection of developing flaws. We intend that the device be polled remotely using RF communications. This paper describes the design and initial testing of a MEMS capacitive (diaphragm-type) transducer array to function as the receiver in the flaw detection system. In order to scan a volume of material from a fixed position it is necessary for the transducer to function as a phased array, and experiments to demonstrate signal detection and phased array processing were a main purpose of this study.

# 2. PREVIOUS WORK

Ultrasonic pulse-echo detection is used in many applications including range/motion sensing, embedded object detection. surface characterization. medical ultrasound and imaging. There is a considerable history of research into MEMS transducers for fluidcoupled and air-coupled applications. Our approach to designing microscale ultrasonic diaphragms was based on the important work of Khuri-Yakub at Stanford University [2,3,4]. One paper [2] outlines the mechanical and electrical analysis of capacitive diaphragm transducers and presents experimental results for air-coupled and fluid-coupled transmission through aluminum, showing that practical applications (including flaw detection) are feasible. Another paper [3] records in detail the fabrication steps needed to produce capacitive ultrasonic transducers suitable for immersion applications and the characterization, both experimental and analytical, their of performance. Another reference [4] discusses one-dimensional transducer arrays and presents initial imaging results, in which solids immersed within fluids are detected. Other investigators of MEMS ultrasonics include Schindel [5] with numerous contributions to immersion applications, and Eccardt [6], at Siemens, with the demonstration of surface micromachined transducers in a modified CMOS process. The present authors [7] have recently published an earlier version of the experimental results described herein.

# 3. DEVICE DESIGN

In a MEMS capacitive transducer, a DC bias voltage is maintained across the plates of the capacitor and diaphragm deflection then produces a change in capacitance that can be detected electrically. The sensitivity of a single diaphragm increases linearly with its area and with the bias voltage, and inversely with the cube of the gap dimension. Moreover, the sensitivity of a detector composed of diaphragms in parallel increases with the number of diaphragms, and therefore a favorable utilization of area is preferred in order to obtain maximum signal strength. Accordingly, a hexagonal geometry was chosen for the individual diaphragm unit and the transducer was fabricated by the MUMPS surface micromachining process. The diaphragm is constructed in the polysilicon-1 structural layer with a thickness of 2 µm and is a regular hexagon with leg length equal to 49  $\mu$ m, chosen to yield a resonant frequency near 4 MHz. A target capacitance of a few pf was chosen, but the predicted capacitance for a single diaphragm was only 0.016 pf; therefore the basic detector was fabricated as a group of 180 diaphragm units in parallel. Figure 3 is the layout drawing for a typical detector, with approximate dimensions of 0.9x2 mm.

The overall device layout is shown in Figure 4. The chip is approximately 1-cm square and contains 23 detectors. The primary detector array is the set of nine in the right-hand column, spanning a 1-cm baseline for phased array implementation. The nine detectors in the middle column are an alternate design attempting to use the substrate, rather than a deposited electrode surface, as the stationary plate of the capacitor. The three detectors at the top of the left-hand column constitute variations on the diaphragm design, using closer-spaced etch release holes, to perform experiments on squeeze film damping. The two largest detectors in the left-hand column are alternate diaphragm designs constructed with two polysilicon layers, for a thickness of 4  $\mu$ m, and a correspondingly larger leg dimension of 69  $\mu$ m.

## 4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

To our knowledge, our tests were the first to attempt signal detection by MEMS transducers in direct contact with solids. The experiments were performed with chips bonded to plexiglass specimens using Gelest Zipcone CG silicone adhesive. Commercial ultrasonic transducers, with nominal diameters of 15 mm and rated operating frequencies of 3.5 MHz and 5 MHz, were the signal sources. Figures 5a and 5b depict the specimen geometries; the MEMS chip appears on-edge as a small rectangle, and the dimension records the closest distance between the signal source and the nearest detector. In the test depicted in Figure 5a the baseline of nine detectors appears as a single point, the point closest to the transducer. Because the transducer is approximately 15 mm wide and the detector baseline is less than 10 mm long, the signal is expected to arrive simultaneously at each detector; the test is termed the on-axis geometry. In the test depicted in Figure 5b the baseline of nine detectors appears as the heavy line. The dimension shown (0.72-inch, or 18 mm) is the distance between the signal source and the nearest single detector. Therefore, the signal will reach the nine detectors along the baseline at an extreme raking angle (65° from the normal) and with considerable delay in arrival time across the baseline; the test is termed the offaxis geometry. The main purpose of these tests was to obtain the distance and angle between the transducer and the source in Figure 5b, using phased array signal processing.

Figure 6a shows experimental results for a pulse in the on-axis geometry illuminating the array of nine detectors from a distance of approximately 0.53-inch, or 13 mm. The signal received at each detector is displayed on the plot at the appropriate relative spatial position of each detector, and we note the following:

- Each signal shows a pulse near 1 µs because of stray electrical coupling, followed by the signal arrival approximately 4.5 µs later, corresponding roughly to the specimen thickness along that travel path.
- As predicted, the arrival time is uniform at all detectors.
- The signals at each detector are relatively uniform in appearance and comparable in amplitude.

Figure 6b shows experimental results for a pulse in the off-axis geometry raking across the array of detectors, and we note the following:

- Only seven detectors are shown, because two detectors became non-operative during the course of the tests.
- The signal arrives first at the closest detector, with successive delay in its arrival at each subsequent detector.
- The arrival times are consistent with the distance between the pulse source and the array.
- The delay permits localization of the source, determining the distance and angle to that source, using the principles of radar imaging.
- A simple geometric localization can be envisioned directly on Figure 6b. If a vertical line is drawn through the start of the pulses, and another straight line is drawn through the start of the received signals, those lines will intersect at a position that can be scaled (either from the inter-detector spacing or from the whole baseline dimension) to obtain the location of the pulse origin to the "left" of the array as it appears in Figure 5b.

A simple signal processing approach was used. Because the detectors are equally spaced, the delay between them will be roughly constant. If each signal is shifted successively by some delay, and then all signals are added together, the sum should be maximum when the correct delay is used. Equivalently, "guessing" the distance and the angle to the source constitutes a "guess" at a delay, with which the signals can be summed, and when the best estimates of distance and angle are used the sum should be a maximum. Figure 7 depicts the results of that process, using arbitrary units, and the isolated peak represents the best estimate of distance and angle to the source; the axis projecting into the foreground represents the distance and the other axis right represents the angle to the source. (The peaks along the distance axis represent the stray-coupled pulses, and should be ignored.)

## 5. CONCLUSIONS

Experimental results in Figures 6 and 7 show MEMS capacitive (diaphragm-type) that transducers can successfully detect ultrasonic pulses when in contact with a solid. The phased array implementation shows that the transducer can successfully localize a source in an off-axis This first-generation device was geometry. designed to test the feasibility of phased array detection, to evaluate design alternatives, and to conduct related experiments in diaphragm behavior. The detectors fabricated in this first device are sufficiently sensitive to detect pulses from a commercial PZT transducer. More recent results (not shown) demonstrate that the detectors are sufficiently sensitive to detect pulses from mm-scale PZT sources if geometric spreading from the signal source is kept small. However, demonstration of flaw detection in practical geometries will require greater sensitivity in order to detect signals from small sources (creating a spherical wave) after considerable geometry spreading. Currently the sensitivity is limited by the capacitor gap and the detector area, and detection limits are severely constrained by parasitic capacitances. A secondgeneration device is presently being fabricated with a number of design improvements to these conditions. and is expected to improve performance by an order of magnitude. Additional improvements in effective sensitivity, by orders of magnitude, can be achieved when the mechanical transducer and the electronic circuits are fabricated as an integrated system on a single chip.

### 6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work has been funded by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania through the Pennsylvania Infrastructure Technology Alliance program, administered at Carnegie Mellon by the Institute for Complex Engineering Systems, and by gifts from Krautkramer Inc., and the authors gratefully acknowledge that support.

## 7. REFERENCES

1. Krautkramer, J. and Krautkramer. H., *Ultrasonic Testing of Materials*, 4<sup>th</sup> edition, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1989.

2. Ladabaum, I., Jin, X., Soh, H., Atalar, A., and Khuri-Yakub, B., "Surface micromachined capacitive ultrasonic transducers," *IEEE Trans. Ultrasonics*, Vol. 45, 678-690, 1998.

3. Jin, X., Ladabaum, Degertkin, F., Calmes, S., and Khuri-Yakub, B., "Fabrication and characterization of surface micromachined capacitive ultrasonic immersion transducers," *IEEE Jnl. Of Microelectricmechanical Systems*, Vol. 8, 100-114, 1999.

4. Oralkan, O., Jin, X., Kaviani, K., Ergun, A., Degertkin, F., Karaman, M., and Khuri-Yakub, B., "Initial pulse-echo imaging results with one-dimensional capacitive micromachined ultrasonic transducer arrays," *IEEE Ultrasonics Symposium*, 959-962, 2000.

5. Schindel, D., "Air-coupled generation and detection of ultrasonic bulk-waves in metals using micromachined capacitive transducers," *Ultrasonics*, Vol. 35, 179-181, 1995.

6. Eccardt, P., Niederer, K., Scheiter, T., and Hierold, C., "Surface micromachined ultrasound transducers in CMOS technology," *IEEE Ultrasonics Symposium*, 959-962, 1996. 7. Jain, A., Greve, D. W., and Oppenheim, I. J., "A MEMS Ultrasonic Transducer for Monitoring of Steel Structures," *SPIE Smart Systems for Bridges, Structures, and Highways*, Paper 4696-31, San Diego, March 2002.





Figure 1. Pulse-echo flaw detection, ref [1]

Figure 2. Results using mm-scale PZT specimen



Figure 3. Typical detector, approximately 0.9x2mm, containing 180 diaphragms





Layout drawing of MEMS



Figure 5a. Test specimen, on-axis geometry



Figure 6a. Experimental results, on-axis geometry



Figure 5b. Test specimen, off-axis geometry







Figure 7. Signal processing results, distance and incidence angle to source given by the peak