The Analysis of the Curtain Wall Installation Robot: Based on the Test in the Construction Site


Abstract—Automation in construction is one of the important issues in the field of robotics, but is distinct from factory automation (FA). Because of variations in materials and management, the role of automation in construction is often limited. A curtain wall is a large, heavy breakable object and requires precision installation, making it a better candidate for automated installation. A curtain-wall installation robot was built and tested at a real construction site and results were analyzed to refine the new concept of curtain-wall installation automation.

Index Terms—Curtain-wall, Automation in Construction, Progress Management, Optimal Mechanic Design, TRIZ Method, Curtain-wall installation Robot, Construction Process Analysis

I. INTRODUCTION

As buildings become larger and more complicated, automation in construction has become more important [1]. Skilled labor is difficult to obtain and, moreover, handling heavy construction materials is not easy and may be dangerous. Several researchers have worked in the field of construction automation, but the real-world requirements can make development difficult. Unlike in factory automation, construction often involves a wide variety of materials and operating environments. Because of this, and moreover because of the complexity of construction, automation systems have been used only in special cases [2].

A. Curtain-wall Installation

A curtain-wall is a wall that doesn’t bear the load of the building (i.e. a non-load bearing wall). It may shorten the construction period and can prevent excessive design of overall building with lightweight feature. Fig. 1 shows the general curtain-wall.

Using curtain-walls is a relatively recent innovation, and has several features worth observing. In the unit wall system, one of the methods of curtain-wall construction used in large-scale construction, aluminum, frames and glasses are assembled in the factory and delivered in units. The walls assembled are very large and heavy, and assembling them is very laborious in Fig. 2. The curtain-wall in the above image has a mass of about 400 kg a height over 4 m.
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B. Automation of Curtain-wall installation Process

Because it uses homogeneous construction materials and is difficult to handle, curtain-wall installation is an ideal candidate for automation. A robot capable of automated
assembly is shown in Fig. 3 [3]. In this paper, we discuss the design of the curtain-wall installation robot and the results of the on-site test. We also discuss the advantages and disadvantages of using the robot and how they might influence the design of the next model.

II. ANALYSIS OF CURRENT PROCESS OF CURTAIN-WALL INSTALLATION

Fig. 4. shows the structure of a curtain-wall and its auxiliary parts. The curtain-wall installation process has three possible types. For this study, we choose the ‘UNIT type’ and its process.

A. Current Installation Process of Curtain-wall (by Human power)

Fig. 6. illustrates the manual assembly process.

(1) The workers move the curtain-wall as close to the target position as possible.
(2) At the signal of the lower floor worker, the upper floor worker operates the winch and lifts up the curtain-wall.
(3) Two workers (as shown in the picture) position the curtain-wall.
(4) The lower floor worker corrects the direction of the curtain-wall.
(5) The lower floor worker releases the winch slowly and puts down the curtain-wall.
(6) Arrange the wall precisely and Finish
B. Concept Design Development of a Curtain-wall Installation Robot

When we design the system, we must consider four characteristics.

(1) **Performance**

We designed the maximum payload of the manipulator with regard to curtain-wall weight, and tested the elevation, assembly and safety capabilities of the total system.

(2) **Maneuverability**

We designed the 3-DOF end-effector of this system to be operated by a teach pendant, and we tested its maneuverability. We gathered the data from the operators who participated in this test.

(3) **System Extensibility (Convenience)**

Each part of 3-DOF end-effecters can be exchanged for other parts which have another other type of motion.

(4) **Improve the efficiency of the total process**

To decide the DOF of the robot system, we analyzed the curtain-wall installation process in Fig. 7.

- Select a curtain-wall.
- Pan the selected wall.
- Pass the wall through the slab.
- Rotate the wall.
- Robot move back. Assembly
- Using micro move, complete the assembly.

Finally, we identified the 3-DOF modules for the end-effector such as Fig. 8. We also considered the compactness of the hoist on the construction site and high DOF of the moniton.

From an analysis of the installation process and economic considerations, we combine the general-purpose usage excavator and our 3-DOF manipulator. Fig. 9. shows the combination of the two systems.

III. PERFORMANCE TEST AT A REAL CONSTRUCTION SITE

We applied this system at a real construction site using curtain-wall building techniques. Fig. 10. shows the total process of curtain-wall installation using our automation system.
(1) Position the machine using hoist.
(2) Set up parts.
(3) Select the curtain-wall.
(4) Place the wall near the target position.
(5) The robot picks up the curtain-wall.
(6) The robot approaches the target slab.
(7) With human guidance, the robot approaches the embedme
(8) Using 3-DOF end-effector, move curtain-wall precisely.
(9) Release the vacuum pad and finish.

IV. TEST RESULT

We estimated the system using 4 factors, Performance, System efficiency, Maneuverability and System Extensibility as shown before. First two factors of a system are analyzed in “Test Results” and last two factors dealt in “Considerations.”

A. Assumption for Test

Our test has several essential prerequisites. It dealt with below.

(1) To measure the task time, we set the starting point as shown in Fig. 11. (We do not consider curtain wall gripping time, because the pose and loading conditions of the curtain wall are different for each site.)

(2) For the first test, we distribute 5 workers (3 on the lower flow and 2 on the upper) for safety. Originally, this system was designed for 3 workers (2 on the lower floor, one on the upper).

(3) Work space

B. Test Results

(1) Performance

TABLE I shows the estimated and actual power required for each part. The installation task includes weighing the curtain wall and examining the performance of our system. Lifting during rotation is possible, but not optimal. So, when we applied this system to the real construction site, we fix a rule to constraint the starting pose as ‘Rotating’ to lift up the curtain-wall.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lifting Ability-Power</td>
<td>Boom</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vacuum Pad</td>
<td>7.8kN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>2.9kN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rotating</td>
<td>4.4kN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

( : Required,  : Actual )

(2) Task Time

To compare human task with robot one, we measure the task time of curtain-wall installation process divide to 6 cases. TABLE II describes it.

TABLE II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task Type and Task Procedure</th>
<th>Curtain wall Type</th>
<th>Wing Attached</th>
<th>Wing Not Attached</th>
<th>Window for Ventilation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. H M X</td>
<td>Normal Installation</td>
<td>2:11&quot; 59&quot;</td>
<td>1:36&quot; 3:00&quot;</td>
<td>59 1:13&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Normal Installation</td>
<td>Install Behind Column</td>
<td>1:42&quot; 44&quot;</td>
<td>1:7&quot; 4:50&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Normal Installation</td>
<td>Install Behind Column</td>
<td>8:17&quot; 5:38&quot;</td>
<td>2:4&quot; 7&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Task Time (All tasks completed by humans)
(b) Human vs. Robot (Normal Installation)
(c) Human vs. Robot (Behind Column)
1. The task number is given in Table 2.
2. In the above graph, the number in each label gives the task number; H and R denote human and robot tasks, respectively.

Fig. 13. Task time for curtain-wall installation

These results (Fig. 13.) are the task times of the curtain wall installation task. We measure the task time, dividing it into three parts. (a) The preliminary task includes the task of assembling the I-bolt at the curtain wall and hanging wire from a winch in the upper floor. If applicable, the wing should also be attached to the wall. (This wing is an attachment to put on a fair show.) (b) The assembly task time is the time it takes to assemble the curtain wall to the slot of the lower and next installed curtain wall. (c) The finishing task time is time it takes to fasten the curtain wall with the anchor clip using a fastener and to align the curtain wall. We repeat the test 3 times, and average the measured times.

As a result, a robot requires more time than a human for the task. In the case of normal installation, both the human and the robot spent most of their time on assembly but the robot has the linkage and dropping of the boom which requires more time.

V. CONSIDERATIONS

A. Maneuverability

To operate the assembly task using this system, two operators are needed. One man operates the booms and the excavator Cater filer; the other operates the 3-DOF manipulator. This is one of main reasons that robot-aided assembly is slower. When operators assemble the curtain-wall, the excavator operator and the manipulator operator communicate continuously. But, because construction sites are usually noisy, this type of communication is not always real-time. Fig. 14. describes it.

Fig. 14. It is difficult to communicate between the two systems.

B. System Extensibility (Convenience)

Such as Fig. 15.,We designed a 3-DOF manipulator but didn’t consider the loading status of the curtain wall, so we couldn’t use the end effector to grip the loaded curtain wall directly. If we had considered it, we could use the ‘tilting’ module to grip the curtain wall. (-65°~25° motion range is required considering the motion necessary for the assembly task only.)

Fig. 15. Motion range of 3-DOF System

C. Overall Criticism

This system was supposed to automate curtain-wall installation. We constructed the system and tested the performance at a construction site, which proved instructive.
First, using an excavator with a macro motion system is problematic. An excavator is not intended for that type of moving, and particularly of heavy material! That system has original linkage and drooping. Second, a 2-way control system by the excavator operator and 3-DOF manipulator is inefficient because the two workers must continuously communicate to assemble the curtain wall; ambient noise might make that communication difficult. Most importantly, the tasks of the two machines are not isolated, so two workers must operate the apparatus simultaneously.

This study offers an opportunity for new research into a man-machine cooperation system. Our upcoming research examines the integration of the two operators or exoskeleton-type human force amplification.
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