Path Generation for Foam Additive Manufacturing of Large Parts with a Cable-Driven Parallel Robot
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Abstract -
In this paper, a framework for foam printing with a Cable-Driven Parallel Robot (CDPR) is described for building large parts. Compared with the traditional robotic systems, CDPRs have a large workspace that can include the printing area. In addition, the potential reconfigurability of CDPRs is an asset to get rid of the collisions between the cables and the environment during the execution of the printing task. The printing feasibility is verified through the process identification where key parameters are used in the proposed control law. The features to be taken into account through a framework to make a successful printing with a CDPR are described. Finally, advantages and drawbacks of CDPRs for additive manufacturing are discussed and future work is presented.
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1 Introduction

3D printing solutions are generally based on Cartesian robots with a 2D motion in the horizontal plane and a 1D motion for the support table, corresponding to a three degrees of freedom machines [1][2][3]. For printing large parts, several materials can be used such as: plastic materials [5], polymer foams [1][3][5] concrete [2][6][7], or materials based on metallic particles [8][9]. The combination of automatic system and process has allowed multiple advances for large-scale 3D-printing, particularly house walls in construction fields. For instance, BatiPrint3D™ construction technology, composed of a Staubli poly-articulated arm and a B2A Systems Automatic Guided Vehicle (AGV), was proposed by Nantes University, France (Figure 1). In 2017, Yhnova demonstrator became a 95m² social dwelling built for the social landlord Nantes Métropole Habitat (NMH) [4].

The 3D-printing demonstrator BatiPrint3D™ technology focuses on the construction of house walls through the deposition of two layers of polymer foam used as a formwork for a third concrete layer inside. To build large parts without interruption in the printing process that would be related to the concatenation of the different workspaces of a mobile robot, the use of CDPRs for additive manufacturing in a short term is conceivable [7][10][11][12]. CDPRs are a potentially suitable replacement for very large-scale applications [8][13]. They easily achieve a large workspace without requiring massive equipment and machinery [14][15].

This paper introduces a framework to adapt the 3D Printing process to a CDPR. A printing head with polymer foam for the production of large parts is managed by this architecture. The 3D foam printer performance is demonstrated through the construction of two different large parts, with an accuracy equal to 1 cm. The advantages and drawbacks of the novel 3D printer are then discussed. The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 introduces the process and the robotic architecture and a way to identify key parameters to adapt the process on a CDPR. Section 3 highlights the experimental validation and proposes a framework to fulfill the need. Section 4 draws some conclusions and future work.
2 Spraying End-Effector mounted on a CDPR

2.1 Spraying end-Effector

The process of deposition is managed by an air-actuated motor which controls the spraying nozzle enabling or disabling the foam deposition. Two tanks containing the Polyol and Isocyanate materials, respectively, are placed in the robotic cell and mixing them together. The polymer foam is then obtained.

The mixture is performed in a static mixer and the compound is then sprayed on the surface of the slab or on the sub-layers as described in Figures 2 and 5. The material expands and acquires a sufficient stiffness in about six seconds. This time depends on the mix temperature and on the compound reactivity. The foam density is bounded between 35 kg/m$^3$ and 45 kg/m$^3$. Its thermal conductivity is equal to 0.027 W.M.K and the Young modulus is equal to 7 MPa. The mean width of the wall created by foam spreading is around 72 mm obtained by adapting different parameters such as flow rates of Isocyanate and Polyol, the distance between the spraying nozzle, the speed of displacement of the nozzle. We now present the robotic architecture i.e. the CDPR which moves the platform.

2.2 CDPR presentation

A CDPR is a robotic system composed of at least 6 cables, reeled in and out by winches, which connect together a frame and a MP. It belongs to a particular class of parallel robots where a MP is linked to a base frame using cables [2][16]. Motors are mounted on a rigid base frame and drive winches. Cable coiled on these winches are routed through exit points located on the rigid frame to anchor points on the MP as shown in Figure 3. By controlling the cable lengths in a synchronous manner, the load can be steadily translated and rotated in a large space with a good dexterity and stability. CDPRs have a large workspace and reach high dynamic performance. Figure 3 depicts the overall architecture of the cable-suspended 3D foam printer with its main components, namely, winches, exit-points and the MP. The winches control the eight cable lengths, which move and actuate the MP. Cables are routed through exit-points located on the rigid frame and connected to anchor-points located on the MP. The printed part is located on the ground so that the MP can access to the top layer of the printed part.

The extruder is controlled by an output of the controller of the CDPR. The 3D foam printer, named CRAFT and displayed in Figure 4 has the following dimensions: 2.4 m x 3.67 m x 2.76 m (l x b x h). Furthermore, it is reconfigurable and their different reconfiguration strategies were studied in [17], [18], [19].
Figure 4. A CDPR, named CRAFT, used for foam additive manufacturing at LS2N, Nantes, France

Figure 5. Description of the foam additive manufacturing process and associated variables

Figure 6. Sectional view of printed foam samples as a function of the linear velocity \( v_d \) of the spraying end-effector for deposition distance \( d_d \) equal to 150 mm

Table 1. Measured layer height \( h_c \) (m)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( d_d ) (m)</th>
<th>0.10</th>
<th>0.15</th>
<th>0.20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( v_d ) (m.s(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.150</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The foam process control model was developed using a non-linear multivariate regression and is illustrated in Figure 7. The blue dots on the response surface are the values obtained from the tests conducted during the identification of the printing process on the CDPR.

Figure 7. Process modeling characterized by a response surface expressed in Eq. (1) obtained based on a non-linear multivariate regression of data given in Table 1.
The foam printing process is modeled as follows:

\[ v_d = b_1 + b_2 \dot{q}_d + b_3 d_d \]  

(1)

with \( b_1 = -1.743 \), \( b_2 = 1.464 \), \( b_3 = -0.314 \), \( b_4 = 1.830 \) and \( b_5 = -0.054 \) being computed with Matlab function from the values given in Tab. 1.

2.4 CDPR Control

The actuators of the CDPR are controlled using a computed torque feed-forward control scheme with a joint space feedback corrector. The integration of the model is performed inside the control law of the CDPR. The control scheme is shown in Fig. 8. The desired velocity of the spray end-effector \( v_d \), namely the one of the moving-platform, along the deposition axis is obtained using Eq. (1). The desired moving-platform twist \( \tau_d \) is computed using the desired twist first time integrative and derivative respectively. The Inverse Geometric Model (IGM) and the Inverse Kinematic Model (IKM) are used to define the desired joint position \( q_d \) and velocity \( \dot{q}_d \) respectively. Using the measured joint position from the encoder readings, the joint position error \( e_q \) and the joint velocity error \( \dot{e}_q \) are used to define a correction torque \( \Gamma_{PID} \) of the motor in a Proportional Integrative Derivative corrector. A friction compensation torque \( \Gamma_f \) is computed using a viscous and Coulomb friction model with the desired joint velocity to anticipate the actuation torque lost in friction. A feed-forward term accounts for the dynamic and static wrenches exerted on the moving-platform to determine a compensation torque \( \Gamma_{FF} \). The friction compensation torque, the PID corrector torque and the feed-forward torque are summed up to define the actuation torque \( \Gamma \).

![Figure 8. Control scheme of the CDPR used for foam additive manufacturing, the trajectory planner being defined based on the foam additive model](image)

3 Experimental validation

First, some layers were printed using a polyurethane foam with a density of 35kg/m³. The first tests with the trajectory planner used in the CDPR allowed to test different parameters, in particular the printing speed versus its accuracy. The proposed framework for foam 3D printing with a CDPR is illustrated in Fig. 9.

The developed measuring framework is used to improve the flatness surface and achieve the foam process through the implementation of a closed feedback loop. The principle is to keep the geometrical error along the z-axis smaller than 10 mm for one layer thickness. A first approach is to continuously vary the layer thickness proportionally to the measured ‘z-error’ by using the foam modeling described in Fig. 7. This can be achieved either by defining the new operating parameters. It is also possible to adapt the nozzle spraying velocity \( v_d \) or to change the deposition height \( d_d \) of the nozzle online. The propose framework for foam printing with a CDPR is described in Fig. 9 for a dedicated high dimension parts to be printed. The quality of the foam to be deposited should satisfy some buildability (mechanical characteristics), geometry (shape) and flatness performance. Accordingly, the trajectory to be followed by the spraying end-effector should be defined and well followed.

![Figure 9. The proposed framework for foam printing with a CDPR](image)
sense that the trajectory remains linear along a line of 1m long and the height \( d \) remains constant so that the layer thickness is homogeneous at 32 mm, and the layer width is also constant at 70mm (Figure 10a). After, this experimentation shows a good repeatability of the CDPR trajectories regardless of the printing location in the robot workspace (Figure 10b). We note some widths of non-homogeneous layer section, due to the accumulation of material formed at the starting and stopping points of the lines (Figure 10b).

Figure 10. First experimentation polyline printing (a), polyline with non-homogeneous layer section at the begin and end of layer (b), Layers overlay printing (c)

The second test involved a curved trajectory serving as the pattern for a curved wall. The pattern is 0.8m long and 0.25m wide with the oval shape.

The profile is set to the variables \( d \), \( e \) and \( r \), which correspond to the width, length of the profile and the radius of the rounding (see Figure 9) in different frames such as :

\[
R_{\text{imp}} = (O_{\text{imp}}, x_{\text{imp}}, y_{\text{imp}}, z_{\text{imp}}): \text{Printing frame whose origin is the first printing point.}
\]

\[
R_{\text{str}} = (O_{\text{str}}, x_{\text{str}}, y_{\text{str}}, z_{\text{str}}): \text{Structure frame whose origin is the center of the printed structure.}
\]

\[
R_{\text{p}} = (O_{\text{p}}, x_{\text{p}}, y_{\text{p}}, z_{\text{p}}): \text{Moving-platform (MP) frame whose origin is the gravity center of the MP.}
\]

\[
R_{\text{b}} = (O_{\text{b}}, x_{\text{b}}, y_{\text{b}}, z_{\text{b}}): \text{Baseframe or Global frame related to the fixed frame.}
\]

To define the trajectory, the user defines:

- The desired time to move the effector from its initial position (at rest) to the 1st printing point
- The nominal speed of the effector for printing \( v_{\text{dmax}} \) (which should be kept during printing)
- Number of layers to print
- The height difference between two successive layers

The profile to be printed is defined in \( R_{\text{imp}} \). The user places this local coordinate system in the structure frame \( R_{\text{str}} \) and then in the base reference regarding the robot \( R_{\text{b}} \) (Fig.10). To print this experimentation, two types of geometries are used: lines and circular curves. The methodology used for the structure definition is the following:

- Define the position and orientation of the different frames
- Define the transformation matrixes between the different frames
- Define the shape to be printed in the printing frame \( R_{\text{imp}} \)
- This shape is first projected in the structure frame \( R_{\text{str}} \)
- It is then projected in the global frame \( R_{\text{b}} \)
- The movement of the moving-platform (MP) is defined by the trajectory.

When the trajectory is defined, the moving platform is suspended in its initial position, which was identified by a laser-tracker. The moving platform goes from the center of the structure frame to the initial printing point. It starts with zero velocity and acceleration and arrives to point A with the desired tracking velocity \( v_{\text{dmax}} \) (0.3 m/s). The spraying effector based on the moving platform follows the predefined structure shape. There is a vertical transition from one layer to the following. The velocity norm is kept constant, along the printing phase. When printing is finished, the MP goes from the last printed point to the structure center.

Figure 11. The shape trajectory inside the CDPR Workspace (a), the printing shape trajectory (b)

Figure 12. Construction of a foam shape part with the Craft CDPR
The path height and path width used for constructing the shape foam is 70 mm, respectively, the polyurethane foam characteristics. These dimensions were chosen based mainly on the objective to build as large an object as possible, in a reasonable amount of time and the quantity of foam in material tanks. With these dimensions, the shape wall is built in 10 layers and the flatness default is approximately 10 mm. However, other factors include a desire to maximize construction resolution and optimize foam gun performance.

4 Discussion on the results

A prototype system, built at Nantes University, is presented on the CRAFT platform, and data from this system shows its suitability for large-scale printing. In order to scale this out to full-size deployment there are, however, different challenges associated with workspace shape and make correction of flatness surface print are identified as targets for future research. The success of this system demonstrates the feasibility of CDPR for large additive manufacturing systems for construction field.

The proposed novel robotic solution allows the association of the CDPR with a polyarticulated robot, embedded on its mobile platform, on which various end-effectors dedicated to additive manufacturing end-effector based on expanding material, edge finishing tool, measurement/control tool would be mounted (Fig.12).

Many research works have been conducted on the design, modeling and control of CDPR over the last fifteen years because of their numerous potential applications, especially in industry, and their potential improved performances, especially in terms of accuracy. It should be noted that the national platform “XXL Robotics” of the Equipex + TIRREX project (Technological Infrastructure for Robotics Research of Excellence 2021-2028) will be located at LS2N, Nantes University, site Mlab XXL in Saint-Aignan de Grandlieu. This platform will include a reconfigurable cable-driven parallel robot of size 24m x 14m x 6m, equipped with an embedded robotic arm on its moving-platform. This experimental platform will allow us to tackle many industrial applications related to manufacturing robotics and construction robotics such as 3D printing of large parts, namely, adding material, but also removing material such as machining.
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