Technology in Construction (ITCON), 2021. **26**: p. 936-952.
13. Davis, F.D., User acceptance of information technology: system characteristics, user perceptions and behavioral impacts. International journal of man-machine studies, 1993. **38**(3): p. 475-487.
14. De Bock, S., et al., Passive shoulder exoskeletons: more effective in the lab than in the field? IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering, 2020. **29**: p. 173-183.
15. Luger, T., et al., Using a back exoskeleton during industrial and functional tasks—Effects on muscle activity, posture, performance, usability, and wearer discomfort in a laboratory trial. Human Factors, 2021: p. 00187208211007267.
16. Akanmu, A.A., et al., Cyber-physical postural training system for construction workers. Automation in Construction, 2020. **117**: p. 103272.
17. Yan, X., et al., Personalized method for self-management of trunk postural ergonomic hazards in construction rebar ironwork. Advanced Engineering Informatics, 2018. **37**: p. 31-41.
18. Koopman, A.S., et al., Effects of a passive exoskeleton on the mechanical loading of the low back in static holding tasks. Journal of biomechanics, 2019. **83**: p. 97-103.
19. Madinei, S., et al. Assessment of Two Passive Back-Support Exoskeletons in a Simulated Precision Manual Assembly Task. in Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society Annual Meeting. 2019. SAGE Publications Sage CA: Los Angeles, CA.
20. Madinei, S., et al., Effects of back-support exoskeleton use on trunk neuromuscular control during repetitive lifting: A dynamical systems analysis. Journal of Biomechanics, 2021. **123**: p. 110501.
21. Kazerooni, H., W. Tung, and M. Pillai. Evaluation of trunk-supporting exoskeleton. in Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society Annual Meeting. 2019. SAGE Publications Sage CA: Los Angeles, CA.
22. Guest, G., K.M. MacQueen, and E.E. Namey, Applied thematic analysis. 2011: sage publications.
23. Welsh, E. Dealing with data: Using NVivo in the qualitative data analysis process. in Forum qualitative sozialforschung/Forum: qualitative social research. 2002.
24. Cohen, J., A coefficient of agreement for nominal scales. Educational and psychological measurement, 1960. **20**(1): p. 37-46.
25. Hensel, R. and M. Keil, Subjective evaluation of a passive industrial exoskeleton for lower-back support: A field study in the automotive sector. IISE Transactions on Occupational Ergonomics and Human Factors, 2019. **7**(3-4): p. 213-221.
26. Bosch, T., et al., The effects of a passive exoskeleton on muscle activity, discomfort and endurance time in forward bending work. Applied ergonomics, 2016. **54**: p. 212-217.
27. Kim, S., et al., Potential of exoskeleton technologies to enhance safety, health, and performance in construction: Industry perspectives and future research directions. IISE Transactions on Occupational Ergonomics and Human Factors, 2019. **7**(3-4): p. 185-191.
28. Antwi-Afari, M.F., et al., Assessment of a passive exoskeleton system on spinal biomechanics and subjective responses during manual repetitive handling tasks among construction workers. Safety science, 2021. **142**: p. 105382.
29. Salvietti, G., et al., Integration of a Passive Exoskeleton and a Robotic Supernumerary Finger for Grasping Compensation in Chronic Stroke Patients: The SoftPro Wearable System. Frontiers in Robotics and AI, 2021. **8**.