**Review Criteria and Review Form**

Review form **for reviewers**:

1. [Summary] In 3-5 sentences, describe the key ideas, experiments, and their significance.
2. [Strengths] What are the strengths of the paper? Clearly explain why these itemized aspects of the paper are valuable.
3. [Weaknesses] What are the weaknesses of the paper? Clearly explain why these itemized aspects of the paper are weak. Please make the comments very concrete based on facts (e.g. list relevant citations if you feel the ideas are not novel).
4. [Paper type] If this submission were accepted, which type of paper should it be? The scientific paper should have stronger intellectual merit, while the short paper can focus more on case studies of specific applications/projects. Scientific-Paper / Short-Paper?
5. [Overall rating] Paper rating Strong-Accept / Weak-Accept / Borderline / Weak-Reject / Strong-Reject
6. [Justification of rating] Please explain how the strengths and weaknesses aforementioned were weighed in for the rating and recommendation of paper types.

Review form **for area chairs**:

1. [Decision] Accept / Reject
2. [Paper type] Scientific-Paper / Short-Paper
3. [Presentation type] Keynote / Plenary / Parallel / Poster
4. [Comments/Summary] Please provide a summary of the reviews and your decisions for the above recommendation.